

Endorsement of the Plant Based Treaty to the City Council of Delaware City, OH on 02/13/23

PLANT BASED TREATY (PBT)

It was launched August 2021 and is meanwhile a fast growing worldwide movement with cities, organizations and individuals signing the treaty to tackle the environmental emergency.

It builds on the Paris Agreement, which sees nearly 200 countries committing to reduce their emissions to limit global warming to ideally 1.5 degrees Celsius.

It has three parts:

1. **Relinquish** - Stop the problem increasing: no building of new animal farms and slaughterhouses, ban live exports etc.
2. **Redirect** - Promote plant-based foods and actively transition away from animal agriculture
3. **Restoration** – Restore key ecosystems and reforest the earth

THE WHY

* **Urgent Climate Crisis** – Mega climate related disasters .. estimated \$100 billion for 2022

* **Climate Migration & Starvation** – 42 million climate refugees in 2022

* **Food Insecurity** – At least 222 million end of 2022 according to UN

* **Animal Agriculture** – 315 billion land animals globally .. 36 billion in US .. 2.8 trillion sea animals globally

- Contributor to greenhouse gases 16.5%
- Unsustainable in land, feed, water and energy use
- Utterly brutal .. in regards to living conditions, standard practices, transport conditions, slaughter
- Utterly deceptive
- Unethical in all directions

Antonio Guterres, UN Secretary General .. “It’s Now or Never” .. make 2023 “a Year of peace” and a “Year of action” .. “Act decisively before it is too late“

PBT SUCCESSES since 10/2022

10/21/22 Los Angeles City Council endorses unanimously the PBT

01/05/23 Germany finalizes it’s plant based national nutrition strategy

01/09/23 NY City is serving now primarily plant based meals in ALL of its 11 public hospitals

01/17/23 Edinburg in Scotland becomes the 1st capital city to sign the PBT

Rita & Brian Selle-Grider
Vegans Delaware OH
sellegrider@spectrum.net

From: [Tony Bonofiglio](#)
To: [Stephen Tackett](#); [Elaine McCloskey](#)
Cc: [Alexis & John Garrett](#); [Becky Wolff](#); [Brenda & Guy Schertzer](#); [Brenda & Tom Collins](#); [David Neavin & Amanda Wahl](#); [Elizabeth & Mike Boyer](#); [Jeff & Cheryl Ruhl](#); [Kelli schifferly](#); [Larry Proper](#); [Ligia Felizatti & Chris Refalo](#); [Liz Gitter](#); [Neva Gill](#); [Patricia and Matt Ellinghausen](#); [Robert Gitter](#); [Ryan & Beth Turay](#); [bookuch@gmail.com](#); [bschultz620@gmail.com](#); [carol & keith burns](#); [carol burns](#); [carriecifford@gmail.com](#); [chopperdaisy@gmail.com](#); [chris_morlan@earthlink.net](#); [clearskycommunications.com](#); [cmeyer614](#); [courtney pugno](#); [deborah stansbery](#); [desireeholt](#); [diana & jamie campbell](#); [don & marie larson](#); [dregs2k](#); [dwengerjoe](#); [ed hoar](#); [eengland](#); [elbert sykes](#); [elizabethmuligan@gmail.com](#); [glenda and fred benner](#); [gloria sancheznavia](#); [gretchen gurujal](#); [jane muckey](#); [jbayes@columbus.rr.com](#); [jeanie fivecoat](#); [jeff rizzo](#); [jeff_easterday](#); [jeni4riz](#); [jenifer fashian](#); [jerry landry](#); [jewell4](#); [jfought](#); [jimmarni](#); [jnbrane](#); [joeann phipps](#); [jrmjam58](#); [jschwier](#); [karen & jim mowry](#); [kathylandry](#); [kay musgrave](#); [kqjiones](#); [krobertkaren](#); [ksykes](#); [laura and robert price](#); [lbrowning](#); [lisa bonofiglio](#); [lorilee19](#); [lschneid](#); [lydiabarboni](#); [mantrasltd](#); [marc lopez](#); [marci and george downing](#); [markotto](#); [markst@nd.edu](#); [mary ann parker](#); [mary jo blickenderfer](#); [matt luchers](#); [mattjulieweller](#); [meganlatham927](#); [mike metzger](#); [moller452](#); [mwick175](#); [nancy_powers](#); [nlevandusk](#); [norma & brian o'dell](#); [norma@leroysservicecenter.com](#); [nsisler](#); [pam & ed johnson](#); [patrycja.baranowska@us.yazaki.com](#); [paula and rick dinovo](#); [pbohmer](#); [ralnanyou](#); [rjap](#); [russell karen](#); [samantha & shawn lynch](#); [shelbdh@aol.com](#); [sherry_haught](#); [stormy bajat](#); [sue proper](#); [susanemcgrail](#); [sylvia bolander](#); [toothman12](#); [udt82](#); [wdargatz](#); [Stacy B. Chaney](#); [jftello@aim.com](#)
Subject: City Council Public Comment Submission
Date: Sunday, February 12, 2023 9:32:58 PM

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

My name is Tony Bonofiglio and I reside at 321 Kensington Dr., Delaware, OH 43015

The Tax Increment Financing (TIF) being proposed in Ordinance 23-02, 23-03 & 23-09 for the Addison Development, will generate approximately \$31M to reimburse the developer for major public improvements and debt service. This was published in the City Council Meeting from February 14, 2022, on page 411 of 1133, link provided for your convenience.

<https://d3n9y02raazwpg.cloudfront.net/delawareohio/7fa450f7-6cb1-11ec-85e3-0050569183fa-504edf0e-c8b8-44a7-87d9-25ab1cf193d7-1644536011.pdf>

At prior city council meetings, they reported additional TIFs to come for Rutherford Acres, Lucy Ridge, and the Parkview development. Typically, developers absorb the costs of the development and then the city picks up the cost of maintaining the infrastructure in the years to come.

TIFs rarely pay off for either the schools or the citizens of the community. Since property tax dollars are being diverted from the schools to reimburse the developers for their costs this will have an impact on the overall community. These developments will bring additional students to our schools. Currently our city schools have little to no room for additional students and they are landlocked. There is a high probability that new schools may need to be built, this will involve added costs for land, building construction, ongoing operations, and staffing. This also means more school levy requests and added school district taxes for the overall community. There seems to be a lack of concern for the overall community and solely a concern with repaying the developer for the Merrick/Heritage Road construction and the overpass development.

An argument can be made that the prior TIFs the city has engaged in have not panned out and are contributing to the financial predicament we are currently experiencing today. As we can see from the information pulled together by the "Financial Review Task Force Report and Recommendation". The city's overall finances are suspect and one of the task force's recommendations is to increase the city's income tax rate from 1.85% to 2.25%, with no change in the current 50% tax credit paid to other cities. This would amount to an

income tax increase of 21.6%.

I find it difficult to accept the decisions being made by the city and the harm to the overall community as a result of these suspect TIFs. The citizens of our community will most likely have a higher overall property tax burden and have to hear from the city in the very near term that we need to have a 21.6% income tax increase, in spite of what was reported at the January 23, 2023, city council meeting that the city's income tax revenues increased by 24.6% from 2021 to 2022.

In closing I am opposed to these TIF ordinances and feel that it will harm the overall community and should not be considered when negotiating with developers. Liability for residential developments should lie with the developer and not be a community partnership.

Thanks,

Tony

From: [Stacy B. Chaney](#)
To: [Stephen Tackett](#); [Elaine McCloskey](#)
Cc: [Tony Bonofiglio](#); [Alexis & John Garrett](#); [Becky Wolff](#); [Brenda & Guy Schertzer](#); [Brenda & Tom Collins](#); [David Neavin & Amanda Wahl](#); [Elizabeth & Mike Boyer](#); [Jeff & Cheryl Ruhl](#); [Kelli schifferly](#); [Larry Proper](#); [Ligia Felizatti & Chris Refalo](#); [Liz Gitter](#); [Neva Gill](#); [Patricia and Matt Ellinghausen](#); [Robert Gitter](#); [Ryan & Beth Turay](#); [bookuch@gmail.com](#); [bschultz620@gmail.com](#); [carol & keith burns](#); [carol burns](#); [carriecifford@gmail.com](#); [chopperdaisy@gmail.com](#); [chris_morlan@earthlink.net](#); [clearskycommunications.com](#); [cmeyer614](#); [courtney pugno](#); [deborah stansbery](#); [desireeholt](#); [diana & jamie campbell](#); [don & marie larson](#); [dregs2k](#); [dwengerjoe](#); [ed hoar](#); [eengland](#); [elbert sykes](#); [elizabethmuligan@gmail.com](#); [glenda and fred benner](#); [gloria_sancheznava](#); [gretchen gurujal](#); [jane muckey](#); [ibayes@columbus.rr.com](#); [jeanie fivecoat](#); [jeff rizzo](#); [jeff_easterday](#); [jeni4riz](#); [jenifer fashian](#); [jerry landry](#); [jewell4](#); [jfought](#); [jimmarni](#); [jibrane](#); [joann phipps](#); [jrmjam58](#); [jschwie](#); [karen & jim mowry](#); [kathylandry](#); [kay musgrave](#); [kgijones](#); [krobertkaren](#); [ksykes](#); [laura and robert price](#); [lbrowning](#); [lisa bonofiglio](#); [lorilee19](#); [lschneid](#); [lydiabarboni](#); [mantrasltd](#); [marc lopez](#); [marci and george downing](#); [markotto](#); [markst@nd.edu](#); [mary ann parker](#); [mary jo blickenderfer](#); [matt luchers](#); [mattjulieweller](#); [meganlatham927](#); [mike metzger](#); [moller452](#); [mwick175](#); [nancy powers](#); [nlevandusk](#); [norma & brian o"dell](#); [norma@leroysservicecenter.com](#); [nsisler](#); [pam & ed johnson](#); [patrycja.baranowska@us.yazaki.com](#); [paula and rick dinovo](#); [pbohmer](#); [ralnanyou](#); [rjiap](#); [russell karen](#); [samantha & shawn lynch](#); [shelbdh@aol.com](#); [sherry haught](#); [stormy bajat](#); [sue proper](#); [susanemcgrail](#); [sylvia bolander](#); [toothman12](#); [udt82](#); [wdargatz](#); [Javier Tello](#); [Jerrel Blankenship](#); [Ted Backus](#); [harrisme@delawarecityschools.net](#); [Jayna McDaniel-Browning](#); [Matt Weller](#); [Michael Wiener](#); [Jen Jenkins](#)
Subject: City Council vote "no" on Addison TIF for our schools - public comment for the record 2/13/2023
Date: Sunday, February 12, 2023 11:19:18 PM

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

Dear members of City Council,
I agree with Mr. Bonofiglio.

This type of TIF will hurt our community, especially our schools.

Isn't it enough that this developer has already received over \$7 million in discounts via the PMU negotiation of tree fees?

Shouldn't the *developer* be responsible for the cost of development?

The net overall negative impact to our community is too great. Please consider us when you vote.

Regards,
Stacy Chaney-Blankenship,
943 Executive Blvd, Delaware, OH 43015

Clerk: Please enter this email and attachment into the record as public comment. Thank you.

On Sun, Feb 12, 2023 at 9:32 PM Tony Bonofiglio <tony.bonofiglio@gmail.com> wrote:
My name is Tony Bonofiglio and I reside at 321 Kensington Dr., Delaware, OH 43015

The Tax Increment Financing (TIF) being proposed in Ordinance 23-02, 23-03 & 23-09 for the Addison Development, will generate approximately \$31M to reimburse the developer for major public improvements and debt service. This was published in the City Council Meeting from February 14, 2022, on page 411 of 1133, link provided for your convenience.

<https://d3n9y02raazwpg.cloudfront.net/delawareohio/7fa450f7-6cb1-11ec-85e3->

At prior city council meetings, they reported additional TIFs to come for Rutherford Acres, Lucy Ridge, and the Parkview development. Typically, developers absorb the costs of the development and then the city picks up the cost of maintaining the infrastructure in the years to come.

TIFs rarely pay off for either the schools or the citizens of the community. Since property tax dollars are being diverted from the schools to reimburse the developers for their costs this will have an impact on the overall community. These developments will bring additional students to our schools. Currently our city schools have little to no room for additional students and they are landlocked. There is a high probability that new schools may need to be built, this will involve added costs for land, building construction, ongoing operations, and staffing. This also means more school levy requests and added school district taxes for the overall community. There seems to be a lack of concern for the overall community and solely a concern with repaying the developer for the Merrick/Heritage Road construction and the overpass development.

An argument can be made that the prior TIFs the city has engaged in have not panned out and are contributing to the financial predicament we are currently experiencing today. As we can see from the information pulled together by the "Financial Review Task Force Report and Recommendation". The city's overall finances are suspect and one of the task force's recommendations is to increase the city's income tax rate from 1.85% to 2.25%, with no change in the current 50% tax credit paid to other cities. This would amount to an income tax increase of 21.6%.

I find it difficult to accept the decisions being made by the city and the harm to the overall community as a result of these suspect TIFs. The citizens of our community will most likely have a higher overall property tax burden and have to hear from the city in the very near term that we need to have a 21.6% income tax increase, in spite of what was reported at the January 23, 2023, city council meeting that the city's income tax revenues increased by 24.6% from 2021 to 2022.

In closing I am opposed to these TIF ordinances and feel that it will harm the overall community and should not be considered when negotiating with developers. Liability for residential developments should lie with the developer and not be a community partnership.

Thanks,

Tony

(3) Tree Fee. A \$500 per single-family detached dwelling unit tree fee shall be charged on each single-family detached home at the time of building permit application. A \$200 per dwelling unit tree fee shall be charged on each dwelling unit which is not a single-family detached dwelling unit at the time of building permit application. These tree fees paid shall be credited at \$100 equals one (1) caliper inch.

If a straight base code Chapter 1168 is applied at \$100 per caliper inch -

- The total for exemptions and Sub Areas B-E removal would be \$5,117,310 preliminarily
- The total for Sub Areas A, H, F, and G for potential removal as preliminarily outlined in Text and plan would be \$2,258,990
- The total estimated across the development would then be \$7,376,000

Addison Farms (PMU)

- Approximately 985 DUs, 250 detached single family (\$500 ea) and 735 attached (\$200 ea)
- \$125,000 + \$147,000 = \$272,000 in projected tree fees
- Compared to requirements of City code, only 4% of the tree fee will be paid (\$272,000 of \$7.4 million)

Top: From Addison Farms PMU development text

Bottom: From Addison Farms PMU staff analysis

in the City Council agenda packet for December 15, 2021

From: [Heather Bulwinkle](#)
To: [Elaine McCloskey](#)
Subject: Addison Properties Proposed TIF
Date: Monday, February 13, 2023 9:55:45 AM

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

February 13, 2023

Dear City Council,

I am Not for giving Addison Properties any TIF.

Why would the city let Addison Properties profit off the backs of the existing and future residents of Delaware? Potentially raising and diverting our taxes, while not asking any of the developer when the developer is accruing the costs, is nonsense. Why would the city let the developer make more money, hand over fist, while our schools will be squeezed? They are already at over-capacity. Why would the city council members agree to pay the developer (using our tax money to reimburse him) as he lowers our property value and destroys the environment? The idea people want a major road directly behind their property is also nonsense. Destroying the forest only to make money while the climate is changing is ridiculous. At least build some affordable housing. Why would the city council ask more and more from the people while they let the developer make the rules? Noise pollution, light pollution, and environmental pollution from the construction are all very real. Why should residents be forced to reimburse the developer? I don't see how the developer has "negotiated" much, as the residents have not had their concerns truly addressed.

It has been shown when tax dollars are not there to support the residents and city services, crime increases, along with hopelessness.

I suspect there are answers to my questions, but I seriously doubt the true answer (greed) will be given. Apparently, speaking up and letting our concerns be known to the very city council that is supposed to represent the residents doesn't compete with a developer asking for the residents' money.

Thank you,
Heather Bulwinkle
985 Executive Boulevard
Delaware, Ohio 43015

From: [Ed](#)
To: [Elaine McCloskey](#)
Subject: City Council vote "no" on Addison Tiff Public Comment for for Record 2/13/23
Date: Monday, February 13, 2023 10:26:43 AM

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

Dear members of City Council,

I am writing to object to the Tax Increment Financing (TIF) being proposed in Ordinance 23-02, 23-03 & 23-09 for the Addison Development.

It is short sighted to divert money from the schools which the people Addison rents to will need, in order to pay for roads that are only needed to support the development Addison is building.

Ultimately it will be up to taxpayers like myself that will need to replace the TIF funds through increased taxes to build a new school.

Surly Addison will reap enough from sales and rentals to cover the cost of construction and a reasonable profit.

Please vote against this demand.

Edward A. Hoar
237 Kensington Drive, Delaware, OH 43015

Clerk: Please enter this email into the record as public comment. Thank you.

G~d give you Joy